Light variations due to wind blanketing in O stars

J. Krtička, A. Feldmeier

Masaryk University, Brno, Czech Republic University of Potsdam, Potsdam, Germany

PRAGUE 26-30 June 2017

EWASS 2017 European Week of Astronomy and Space Science

Stellar wind of hot stars

- supersonic flow from hot stars
- accelerated due to the absorption (scattering) of radiation mainly in the resonance lines of such elements like C, N, O or Fe
- most important wind parameters are mass-loss rate \dot{M} and terminal velocity v_{∞}



Wind blanketing

- radiation reflected back to the stellar photosphere from the wind: wind blanketing
- line and continuum absorption in the wind
- change of the structure of the photosphere and of the emergent flux (Abbott & Hummer 1985)



Photometric variations

- wind variability \Rightarrow variability of wind blanketing \Rightarrow photometric variability
- possible causes of the wind variability
 - stellar magnetic field
 - wind instabilities

HD 191612

- spectral type O6.5f?pe
- effective temperature $T_{eff} = 36\,000\,\text{K}$
- spectroscopic variations with period $\sim 540~{\rm d}$ (Walborn et al. 2004)
- photometric variations with period $\sim 540 \text{ d}$ (Nazé 2004)
- detection of magnetic field (Donati et al. 2006)

HD 191612: rotational variations



Wade et al. (2011)

Nature of observed variations

- stellar rotation: magnetic field variations
- magnetic field dominates the wind ($\beta < 1$)
- wind flows along the magnetic field lines (ud-Doula & Owocki 2002)
- strength of the wind varies across the stellar surface, $\dot{m}(\Omega) \sim \cos^2 \theta_{\rm B}$, $\theta_{\rm B}$ is the tilt of the magnetic field
- ⇒ variations of B_z , H α and X-ray emission (Wade et al. 2011, Nazé et al. 2016)
 - are there any observable consequences of variable wind blanketing?

METUJE global models

- models of the stellar photosphere and wind
- spherically symmetric stationary models
- occupation numbers calculated using statistical equilibrium (NLTE) equations
- radiative field calculated using the comoving-frame (CMF) radiative transfer equation
- solution of hydrodynamical equations with CMF radiative force and NLTE heating/cooling term

(Krtička & Kubát, in press)

HD 191612: temperature

- stellar wind blocks part of the emergent radiation (mainly in far-UV)
- blocked radiation heats the photosphere: *wind* blanketing



• mass-loss rate $M_0 = 2.6 \times 10^{-7} \,\mathrm{M_\odot} \,\mathrm{yr^{-1}}$

HD 191612: emergent flux

- wind blanketing: redistribution of the flux from far-UV to near-UV and optical
- star brighter with increasing mass-loss rate



HD 191612: magnetic field

• wind mass-flux depends on the location on the stellar surface (Owocki & ud-Doula 2004)

$$\dot{m}(\Omega)\sim\cos^2 heta_{\sf B}$$

- $\theta_{\rm B}$ is the tilt of the magnetic field
- regions where the magnetic field is perpendicular to the stellar surface are brighter

HD 191612: light curve

 light curve due to the modulation of wind blanketing by the magnetic field (Krtička 2016)



• remaining light variability due to wind absorption (Wade et al. 2011)

Line-driven wind instability

• wind variability due to line driving instability (Owocki et al. 1988, Feldmeier et al. 1997)



• variability of the mass-loss rate (averaged over $r/R_* = 1.01 - 1.02$, Feldmeier et al. 1997)

Line-driven wind instability

- wind variability due to line driving instability (Owocki et al. 1988, Feldmeier et al. 1997)
- can wind variability explain stochastic light variations observed in some O stars?



HD 188209 (O9.5lab, Kepler, Aerts et al. 2017)

Line-driven wind instability

- wind variability due to line driving instability (Owocki et al. 1988, Feldmeier et al. 1997)
- can wind variability explain stochastic light variations observed in some O stars?



Light variability

- wind variability due to line driving instability (Owocki et al. 1988, Feldmeier et al. 1997)
- \Rightarrow variable wind blanketing



 light variability due to variable wind mass-loss rate and wind blanketing

Light variability: more cones

- wind variability due to line driving instability (Owocki et al. 1988, Feldmeier et al. 1997)
- \Rightarrow variable wind blanketing for random time offset in each cone



 \Rightarrow variability observable for low number of cones

Conclusions

- hot star wind blocks part of the emergent flux: wind blanketing
- part of the blocked flux redistributed to the visual region
- \Rightarrow photometric variability for variable wind mass-loss rate
 - rotational variability in magnetic O stars
 - stochastic variability due to wind instabilities



magnetic17.physics.muni.cz