Connections Between H-poor Superluminous Supernovae and long Gamma-Ray Bursts

> Dr. Ragnhild Lunnan (OKC & Caltech) EWASS GRB Symposium June 26, 2017







The Extraordinary, Engine-Driven Supernova iPTFI6asu

Dr. Ragnhild Lunnan (OKC & Caltech) EWASS GRB Symposium June 26, 2017

in collaboration with **Lindsey Whitesides**, Mansi Kasliwal, Daniel Perley, Alessandra Corsi, Brad Cenko, Francesco Taddia, Christoffer Fremling, Jesper Sollerman, Nadia Blagornodnova, and the iPTF transient group



Caltech



iPTFI6asu light curve



Four day rise time! Peak $M_g = -20.4$ mag

Whitesides, Lunnan et al. 2017, arXiv:1706.05018

Ragnhild Lunnan (OKC)

EWASS 2017

iPTFI6asu compared to other fast and/or luminous transients



Whitesides, Lunnan et al. 2017, arXiv:1706.05018

Ragnhild Lunnan (OKC)

EWASS 2017

Spectroscopic Evolution



Spectroscopic Evolution



Whitesides, Lunnan et al. 2017, arXiv:1706.05018

Spectroscopic Evolution



Whitesides, Lunnan et al. 2017, arXiv:1706.05018



Whitesides, Lunnan et al. 2017, arXiv:1706.05018



No coincident GRB detected

Whitesides, Lunnan et al. 2017, arXiv:1706.05018



- No coincident GRB detected
- Nondetections in the radio (VLA) and X-ray (Swift)

Whitesides, Lunnan et al. 2017, arXiv:1706.05018



- No coincident GRB detected
- Nondetections in the radio (VLA) and X-ray (Swift)
- Off-axis models can produce the optical rise, but not simultaneously fit the (bright) optical and (faint) radio/X-ray

Whitesides, Lunnan et al. 2017, arXiv:1706.05018



- No coincident GRB detected
- Nondetections in the radio (VLA) and X-ray (Swift)
- Off-axis models can produce the optical rise, but not simultaneously fit the (bright) optical and (faint) radio/X-ray
- Spectral shape, light curve decay, X-ray to optical slope not GRB-like

Whitesides, Lunnan et al. 2017, arXiv:1706.05018

What Powered iPTFI6asu: Magnetar Spin-down?



Whitesides, Lunnan et al. 2017, arXiv:1706.05018

What Powered iPTF16asu: Magnetar Spin-down?



Whitesides, Lunnan et al. 2017, arXiv:1706.05018

What Powered iPTFI6asu: Magnetar Spin-down?



Whitesides, Lunnan et al. 2017, arXiv:1706.05018

What Powered iPTFI6asu: Magnetar Spin-down?



 \rightarrow Could explain the peak, if sufficiently fast spin-down time.

What Powered iPTFI6asu: Extended Envelope Shock Breakout?



- Luminosity & duration of luminous peak explained by extended envelope of ~0.5 M_{sun}
- Given velocities, require large amount of energy (several FOE) transferred to the envelope smothered GRB model

Whitesides, Lunnan et al. 2017, arXiv:1706.05018

What Powered iPTFI6asu: Extended Envelope Shock Breakout?



- Luminosity & duration of luminous peak explained by extended envelope of ~0.5 M_{sun}
- Given velocities, require large amount of energy (several FOE) transferred to the envelope smothered GRB model

Could explain the peak, if underlying explosion is sufficiently energetic.

Summary

- iPTFI6asu was a SN Ic-BL with a luminous (Mg=-20.4 mag), rapidly-rising (trise=4d) peak.
- Non-detections in the radio & X-ray constrain any associated GRB to be low-luminosity
- Powering the optical peak likely requires a central engine, either in the form of a magnetar, or an engine-driven shock
- Transition object between SN Ic-BL, GRB-SNe and superluminous supernovae, not unlike SN2011kl.